

Application Serial No.: 09/801,401
Attorney Docket No.: 0190229

REMARKS

This Amendment and Response is in response to the *Final* Office Action of July 26, 2005, where the Examiner has rejected claims 1-4, 6-10 and 23-31. By the present amendment, claims 1 and 23 have been amended. After the present amendment, claims 1-4, 6-10 and 23-31 are pending in the present application. Allowance of outstanding claims 1-4, 6-10 and 23-31 in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

A. Rejection of Claims 1-4, 6-10 and 23-31 under 35 USC §103(a)

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-4, 6-10 and 23-31, under 35 USC §103(a), as being unpatentable over Telle (USPN 6,469,801) in view of Schoenzeit, et al. (USPN 5,619,624) ("Schoenzeit").

Applicant respectfully disagrees; however, in order to expedite the prosecution of the present application, applicant has amended independent claim 1 to recite "a transformation circuitry, separate from said image processing circuitry and communicatively coupled to the image processing circuitry through an intermediate storage queue, that transforms the processed intermediate image data into a processed final image data."

Applicant acknowledges and appreciates the Examiner's statement in response to applicant's arguments that "to avoid the primary reference (Telle) as a prior art, applicant should amend the claim by including limitations that identify the image processing circuitry and the transformation circuitry as two separate circuits." (Office Action, Page

Application Serial No.: 09/801,401
Attorney Docket No.: 0190229

3, Lines 12-14.) Per the Examiner's suggestion, by the present amendment, applicant has amended claim 1 to recite that the transformation circuitry is separate from said image processing circuitry and that they are communicatively coupled through an intermediate storage queue. Further, applicant has also amended independent claim 23 to include the aforementioned limitations.

Accordingly, independent claims 1 and 23 and their respective dependent claims 2-4, 6-10 and 24-31 should be allowed at least for the same reasons stated above.

B. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing reasons, an early Notice of Allowance directed to all claims 1-4, 6-10 and 23-31 pending in the present application is respectfully requested.

Applicant would like to advise the Examiner of a recent change in the attorneys of record. Applicant respectfully requests that all correspondence regarding the present application be made to the address shown below.

FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP
26522 La Alameda Ave., Suite 360
Mission Viejo, California 92691
Telephone: (949) 282-1000
Facsimile: (949) 282-1002

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed by facsimile transmission to United States Patent and Trademark Office at facsimile number (571) 273-8500 on the date stated below.

Jan. 20, 2006
Date

LESLEY L. NING
Name

[Signature]
Signature

Respectfully Submitted,
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP

[Signature]
Farshad Farjami, Esq.
Reg. No. 41,014